



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,890	03/15/2004	Patrick Denis Lincoln	SRI/4804-2	1466
52197	7590	05/28/2009	EXAMINER	
Wall & Tong, LLP			NGO, NGUYEN HOANG	
SRI INTERNATIONAL			ART UNIT	
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			2416	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/28/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/800,890

Applicant(s)

LINCOLN ET AL.

Examiner

NGUYEN NGO

Art Unit

2416

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NGUYEN NGO.

(3) _____.

(2) Diana Way.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Agarwal et al. (US 2004/0179486), in view of Banerjee ((US 20020147722).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed intended claim invention with respect to claim 1. Also discussed the use of Agarwal et al. (US 2004/0179486), in view of Banerjee ((US 20020147722) with respect to claim 1. No agreement has been reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/KWANG B. YAO/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416